## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 02158 01 OF 02 171252Z

53

**ACTION SS-25** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 INRE-00

AECE-00 /026 W

----- 071810

R 171210Z FEB 75 FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7286

SECRET SECTION 1 OF 2 MOSCOW 2158

**EXDIS** 

E. O. 11652: XGDS-3 TAGS: PARM, US, UR

SUBJ: TTBT/PNE NEGOTIATIONS

TTBT/PNE MESSAGE NUMBER TWELVE

1. FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF BUCHHEIM STATEMENT DELIVERED AT FEBRUARY 17 PLENARY:

BEGIN TEXT: IN THE PLENARIES OF LAST WEEK WE OUTLINED THE US POSITION ON THE LIMITATION VERIFICATION OF CONTAINED PNES. TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND MORE FULLY OUR APPROACH TO VERIFICATION, IT MAY BE USEFUL IF I REVIEW CERTAIN ASPECTS OF OUR POSITION.

ONE FUNDAMENTAL ASPECT OF OUR APPROACH TO THE VERIFICATION OF CONTAINED PNES IS OUR CONVICTION THAT THERE ARE NO FEASIBLE MEANS TO ASSURE THAT WEAPON-RELATED BENEFITS COULD NOT BE OBTAINED FROM A CONTAINED EXPLOSION. ACCORDINGLY, WE MUST BE ASSURED THAT CONTAINED PEACEFUL NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS PERMITTED UNDER ARTICLE III OF THE THRESHOLD TEST BAN TREATY HAVE A YIELD LOWER THAN THE AGREED THRESHOLD CALLED FOR IN THAT TREATY. ANOTHER FUNDAMENTAL ASPECT OF OUR APPROACH TO THE VERIFICATION OF PNES FOLLOWS FROM CERTAIN OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE TREATY. THE PROTOCOL TO THE TREATY PROVIDES THAT NUCLEAR WEAPON TESTS SHALL BE CONDUCTED SOLELY WITHIN SPECIFIED TESTING AREAS. THEREFORE THE ONLY NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS THAT CAN BE SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 02158 01 OF 02 171252Z

CONDUCTED OUTSIDE THE SPECIFIED TESTING AREAS ARE THOSE THAT

HAVE A PEACEFUL PURPOSE. IF THE RESTRICTION OF NUCLEAR WEAPON TESTS TO SPECIFIED TEST SITES IS TO BE MEANINGUL, THOSE NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS WHICH ARE TO BE CONDUCTED AWAY FROM THE SPECIFIED TEST SITES MUST HAVE A SPECIFIC PEACEFUL PURPOSE WHICH IS CLEARLY RECOGNIZABLE BY THE OTHER PARTY.

IT IS FROM THESE TWO FUNDAMENTAL ASPECTS THAT WE DRAW OUR APPROACH TO THE VERIFICATION OF CONTAINED PNES.

IT WAS RECOGNIZED BY BOTH SIDES IN OUR NEGOTIATIONS OF LAST JUNE AND JULY THAT LIMITING NUCLEAR WEAPON TESTS TO SPECIFIED TEST SITES WOULD SIMPLIFY THE PROCESS OF VERIFICATION AND INCREASE THE ACCURACY OF YIELD DETERMINATION OF UNDERGROUND WEAPON TESTS. I DO NOT BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE NECESSARY FOR US TO REPEAT AGAIN THE SEISMOLOGICAL DISCUSSIONS WHICH WE HAVE HAD. LET ME, HOWEVER, RECALL THE WORDS OF MR. GALITCH, WHO IN THE PLENARY OF JUNE 6, SUMMED UP THE ISSUES MOST CONCISELY. HE SAID THAT LIMITATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPON TESTS AT SPECIFIED TEST SITES WAS IMPORTANT FOR THE ACHIEVEMENT OF EFFECTIVE VERIFICATION FOR SEVERAL REASONS. MR. GALITCH SAID, "FIRST, IT FACILITATES THE ARRANGEMENTS FOR VERIFICATION. IT MAKES IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES MORE EFFECTIVE. EXPERIMENTAL DATA ARE EASIER TO USE WHEN THEY INVOLVE ONLY A SINGLE AREA. MONITORING BY A NETWORK IS MADE MORE RELIABLE. ERRORS IN THE YEILD-SEISMIC MAGNITUDE RELATIONSHIP ARE RUDUCED. THERE WOULD BE GREATER ACCURACY IN YIELD DETERMINATION RESULTING IN LOWER ERRORS IN THE DETERMINATION OF MAGNITUDE."

FROM THIS IT FOLLOWS THAT, AS WE STATED IN THE PLENARY OF FEBRUARY 12, THE DETERMINATION OF THE YIELD OF A CONTAINED PNE IS MORE UNCERTAIN THAN FOR EXPLOSIONS CONDUCTED AT SPECIFIED NUCLEAR WEAPON TEST SITES UNDER THE TERMS OF THE THRESHOLD TEST BAN TREATY OF JULY 1974. IT IS FOR THIS REASONS THAT WE BELIEVE THE TOTAL AGGREGATE YIELD OF CONTAINED PNE EVENTS MUST BE LIMITED TO NO MORE THAN 100 KILOTONS AND THAT WE MUST BE ABLE TO CONFIRM THE ACTUAL YIELD OF THE EXPLOSION WITH REASONABLE CONFIDENCE. IT IS OUR BELIEF THAT THE INFORMATION WHICH WE HAVE SPECIFIED TO BE EXCHANGED IS NEEDED IN ORDER ADEQUATELY TOESTIMATE THE YIELD OF THE EXPLOSION. WE FURTHER BELIEVE THAT OBSERVERS ARE NEEDED TO VERIFY THAT DATA.

SECRET

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 02158 01 OF 02 171252Z

THE OTHER BASIC PURPOSE OF THE OBSERVERS IS TO VERIFY THAT THE LOCAL CIRCUMSTANCES AT THE PNE SITE ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATED PURPOSE OF THE EVENT.

I WISH TO EXPLICITLY MENTION A TWO-PART POINT WHICH WE HAVE IDENTIFIED IN OUR CONSIDERATIONS OF SPCIFIC AGREEMENT TERMS, AND WHICH WE CONSIDER TO BE CONSISTENT WITH REMARKS ALREADY

MADE BY YOU, MR. IMINISTER. WE SHOULD AGREE THAT DEVELOPMENT TESTS OF EXPLOSIVE DEVICES FOR CONTAINED PNE'S SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF SPECIFIED WEAPON TEST SITES. FURTHER, THE STATEMENT OF PURPOSE ABOUT A PNE PROJECT SHOULD SAY WHETHER IT IS AN EXPERIMENT TO DEVELOP AN APPLICATION TECHNIQUE OR AN APPLICATION OF AN ESTABLISHED TECHNIQUE.

I WOULD NOW LIKE TO RESPOND TO SOME OF THE QUESTIONS ADDRESSED TO US BY MEMBERS OF THE SOVIET DELEGATION AT OUR LAST PLENARY, FEBRUARY 14

DR. SAFRONOV ASKED WHAT THE CRITERIA WOULD BE FOR DETERMINING WHETHER AN EXPLOSION AWAY FROM THE TEST SITE IS PEACEFUL OR NON-PEACEFUL, AND HE ASKED WHAT THE PURPOSE WOULD BE OF VERIFYING THE YIELD OF AN EXPLOSION CARRIED OUT AWAY FROM THE TEST SITE AND WHAT ACCURACY IS REQUIRED. I WOULD ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS BY RESTATING THE CRITERIA WHICH WE FEEL MUST BE MET TO ASSURE THAT AN EXPLOSION AWAY FROM THE TEST SITE DOES NOT PROVIDE WEAPON BENEFITS PRECULUDED BY THE THRESHOLD TEST BAN TREATY OF JULY 3, 1974. FIRST, WE MUST HAVE ADEOUATE ASSURANCE THAT THE YIELD OF THE EVENT IS LESS THAN 150 KILOTONS. BECAUSE THE ACCURACY OF YIELD ESTIMATION IS NOT AS GOOD AWAY FROM THE TEST SITE AS ON THE TEST SITE, WE HAVE STRUCTURED OUR PROPOSAL IN TERMS OF YIELD LIMITATION, DATA EXCHANGE AND OBSERVATION SO THAT WE CAN HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME ASSURANCE OF THE ESTIMATED YIELD OF CONTAINED PNES AS WE WOULD FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS TESTS AT THE SPECIFIED TEST SITES UNDER THE TERMS OF THE TREATY OF JULY 3. THE SECOND CRITERION IS THAT THE SIDES SHOULD BE ABLE TO VERIFY THAT THE LOCAL CIRCUMSTANCES AT THE PNE SITE ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATED PURPOSE OF THE EVENT. THESE ARE OUR CRITERIA.

DR. SAFRONOV ASKED WHY INFORMATION WOULD BE REQUIRED TWICE -- SECRET

**SECRET** 

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 02158 01 OF 02 171252Z

FIRST PROVIDED IN ADVANCE AND THEN OBTAINED BY OBSERVERS. THE ROLE OF INFORMATION PROVIDED IS TO IMPROVE THE ACCURACY OF YIELD ESTIMATION. IT IS NOT POSSIBLE, HOWEVER, BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS TO CONFIRM THE VALIDITY OF ALL OF THIS INFORMATION. ACCORDINGLY, THE PURPOSE OF OBSERVATION IS TO CONFIRM BY INDEPENDENT OBSERVATION THE CORRECTNESS OF THE INFORMATION SUPPLIED.

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 02158 02 OF 02 171258Z

53

**ACTION SS-25** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 INRE-00

AECE-00 /026 W

----- 071848

R 171210Z FEB 75 FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7287

SECRET SECTION 2 OF 2 MOSCOW 2158

**EXDIS** 

TTBT/PNE MESSAGE NUMBER TWELVE

DR. MYASNIKOV ASKED IF THE GEOLOGICAL SAMPLES COULD NOT BE PROVIDED FROM THE SMALL DIAMETER EXPLORATORY DRILL HOLES RATHER THAN THE EMPLACEMENT SHAFT. WE UNDERSTAND THE TECHNICAL ISSUE HERE. APPROPRIATE GEOLOGICAL SAMPLES OR CORES COULD BE PROVIDED FROM NEARBY EXPLORATORY DRILL HOLES. IF THIS WERE DONE, CORE SAMPLES FROM THE EMPLACEMENT HOLE WOULD PROBABLY NOT NEED TO BE TAKEN. THE ROLE OF THE OBSERVERS WOULD BE TO CONFIRM THE INFORMATION GAINED FROM THE EXPLORATORY DRILL HOLES BY EXAMINATION OF CUTTINGS AND CHIPS FROM THE EMPLACEMENT SHAFT DURING THE LAST STAGE OF DRILLING. THIS LAST STAGE OF DRILLING WOULD CORRESPOND TO A DISTANCE OF 2 TO 3 TIMES THE EXPECTED CAVITY RADIUS ABOUT THE SHOT POINT.

DR. MYASNIKOV ASKED WITHIN WHAT RADIUS OF THE SITE OF A CONTAINED PNE EVENT THE OBSERVERS WOULD OPERATE. WE WILL NOT PROPOSE AT THIS TIME A SPECIFIC RADIUS. HOWEVER, THE AREA OF OBSERVATION WOULD BE IN THE IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE EXPLOSION AND ITS ASSOCIATED INSTRUMENTATION.

MR. MINISTER, I HOPE THESE REMARKS HAVE HELPED TO CLARIFY FOR YOU THE US PROPOSAL. IF THERE ARE FURTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE PROCEDURES WE PROPOSE, WE WOULD WELCOME THEM. THE US APPROACH HAS BEEN DESIGNED TO SERVE OUR MUTUAL INTERESTS SO THAT BOTH PARTIES CAN HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT PURPOSED OF THE THRESHOLD TEST SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 02158 02 OF 02 171258Z

BAN TREATY OF JULY 3 ARE BEING SERVED. END TEXT.

- 2. FOLLOWING PERIOD OF DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONS, BUCHHEIM PRESENTED FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL STATEMENT.
- 3. BEGIN TEXT. OUR STATEMENT TODAY COMPLETES OUR PRESENTATION OF THE MAIN POINTS IN OUR PROPOSAL FOR GOVERNING CONTAINED PNES UNDER ARTICLE III OF THE THRESHOLD TEST BAN TREATY OF JULY3, 1974. WE CAN ADD DETAILS AS NECESSARY AND, OF COURSE, WE ANTICIPATE THAT YOUR SIDE WILL HAVE FURTHER QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS.

ON WEDNESDAY WE PLAN TO PRESENT AN OUTLINE OF OUR PROPOSAL WITH RESPECT TO EXCAVATION PNES.

TODAY, I WISH TO MAKE A SHORT STATEMENT ABOU THE BASIS FOR OUR PROPOSAL ON EXCAVATION PNES.

AS WE HAVE SAID SEVERAL TIMES IN THESE MEETINGS, WE HAVE THREE CRITERIA FOR A PNE AGREEMENT IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE III OF THE TREATY OF JULY 1974. THESE ARE:

- 1. PNES MUST NOT PROVIDE WEAPON-RELATED BENEFITS OTHERWISE PRECLUDED OR LIMITED BY THE TTBT.
- 2. THE FACT THAT PNE ACTIVITES ARE NOT CONTRIBUTING TO SUCH BENEFITS MUST BE ADEQUATELY VERIFIABLE.
- 3. THE AGREEMENT MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH EXISTING TREATY OBLIGATIONS, INCLUDING IN PARTICULAR THE LIMITED TEST BAN TREATY OF 1963.

I HAVE TAKEN THE TIME TO REPEAT OUR THREE CRITERIA IN FULL BECAUSE IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE BE CLEAR ABOUT THIS BASIC POINT: THE PROPOSAL WE PLAN TO INTRUDUCE ON FEBRUARY 19TH IS EXPLICITLY DESIGNED TO CONFORM WITH THE FIRST TWO OF OUR CRITERIA -- THOSE DEALING WITH POSSIBLE WEAPON-RELATED BENEFITS. END TEXT.

MATLOCK

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: TTBT, PEACEFUL NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS, NEGOTIATIONS, MEETING REPORTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 17 FEB 1975 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: SmithRJ
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975MOSCOW02158

Document Number: 1975MOSCOW02158
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a **Executive Order:** X3 Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750057-0136

From: MOSCOW

Handling Restrictions: n/a Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750237/aaaabhfy.tel Line Count: 259

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION SS

**Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET **Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS** Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: SmithRJ

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 APR 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <24 OCT 2003 by SmithRJ>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: TTBT/PNE NEGOTIATIONS TTBT/PNE MESSAGE NUMBER TWELVE TAGS: PARM, UR, US
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006